

**Total responses reviewed to date: 236 (including tests etc – only counted true responses)**


Yes with no comments: 105

Yes with comments: 70

No: 6

No with comments: 18

No response/ineligible/test: 37



Yes comments/caveats: 70

Most comments were generally re-inforcing their support for current policy and the importance of the green belt, issues relating to the GB removed due to the Pinewood Development.

Top reasons/comments/themes for retention:

Urban sprawl – 19

Retain character of area for future – 12

Risk to wildlife/environment – 8

Sites mentioned specifically –

Iver Heath Fields and Richings Park - 5

Other:

Use brownfield or existing sites instead – 4

**Fewer than 10 yes responses came with comments suggesting they would support limited development:**

For housing

For relief road

'Scrubland'

**No comments:**

Housing affordable and for local young people – 14

Relief road – 2

Support for local plan – Thorney Business Park - 3

Only for limited development/depend on proposal – 4

Note – number of responses were identical and quote legislation. 7