

## THE IVERS PARISH COUNCIL

### MINUTES OF AN EXTRAORDINARY MEETING OF THE COUNCIL HELD ON 19<sup>th</sup> OCTOBER AT 4.00PM VIA ZOOM CALL

**Present:** Councillors Wendy Matthews (Chairman), Ann Mayling (Vice Chair), Chris Jordan, , Geoff Bennett, Carol Gibson, Kevin Brown, Peter Stanhope, and Julie Cook joined at 1634

In attendance: Stephanie Bennett (Clerk) and 15 members of the public.

**C.059/20 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

Ciarán Beary. Julie Cook will join late

**C.060/20 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

None were received.

**C.061/20 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**

It was asked how many members of the public were in attendance and the Clerk confirmed 10. A resident of Wood Lane questioned why the road was not included in the traffic assessments (it was later confirmed that it is included). A question was asked if the Pinewood application and the decision that Bucks was likely to take not to continue with the South Bucks Local Plan were connected. The Chairman responded that she had no information that indicated that this was so.

**C.062/20 PINWOOD**

The Chairman introduced the agenda item and explained that the meeting would be looking at three distinct responses. The first response would be to the planning application however all comments would be passed to the Planning Committee for inclusion in the formal response to the planning application. This response would include aspects of Section 106 requirements for items that Bucks Council would oversee including considerations such as highways improvements. The second response would be for Section 106 considerations that could be delivered by parish councils collectively and the third response would be for Section 106 considerations solely for the Ivers Parish Council area.

It was made clear throughout the meeting that the Parish Council would be responding robustly to the planning application however it was also aiming to be ready with Section 106 considerations IF the application is approved and the development occurs. All community members were encouraged to respond to the application directly to Bucks Council as well as letting the Parish Council know their views.

The following points were made:

General Comments

- Losing 73 acres of green belt is shocking
- The Local Plan drew Pinewood Road as the boundary for green belt and now that is being ignored
- There are so many impacts on the local community
- 8,500 visitors per day and 3,500 employees is a huge number of people using the local roads and transport
- We must encourage active travel
- We intend to consult directly with residents into what S106 considerations they would like to see included
- Residential roads protected against becoming 'rat runs'
- Construction works to be robustly managed and planned with hours of work included and HGV movements
- The one way system that is being suggested is not a good idea as it will impact more negatively on local residents

#### Planning and Bucks Level Section 106 considerations

- Cycle and footway improvements across the Parish
- Electric coaches to be utilised
- Upgrade Wood Lane for cycles and footways
- Bridge connection from Black Park to Langley Park
- Speed control and monitoring in Church Road
- Signposting of routes
- Park and Ride to be implemented away from site with electric buses used
- Permit to park implemented for residents

#### Pan Parish Section 106 considerations

Traffic Management

Air quality monitoring and remedial actions

#### The Ivers Parish Section 106 considerations

- Cycle routes with rental bikes available to get from the station to Pinewood
- Funding to improve green and open spaces
- Funding of smartville CCTV

**C.063/20**

#### **THORNEY BUSINESS PARK**

The following comments were made:

- Parking provision for the residential properties is missing. Having a car is necessary in this area as there are reduced essential services such as food shops
- This site was intended to provide a relief road. The road that is included is merely to enable access to the industrial units at the rear of the site
- There is no benefit to the local community

- The height of the buildings should not be higher than existing buildings in Richings Park
- The density of the homes must be reduced and the green spaces maintained at the level indicated in the previous plan
- The data centres do not relieve the potential for HGVs as a change of use could result in warehousing etc
- The scoping report indicates a build period of ten years. That equates to increased HGV use for the construction as well as the existing operations over this period.
- This development will destroy the whole community feel and sense of place of Richings Park
- The waterfront needs to be incorporated into the design
- There is no infrastructure provision for the additional people - an increase in the population in the Parish by 1/3rd
- We recognise the inclusion of a primary school but this area already requires a secondary school; where will the secondary pupils go?
- With regards to Section 106 monies the police will need to look at this carefully as they will need more resource
- We haven't got suitable roads for the current provision so how will the roads cope with this additional number of vehicles. We must emphasise the need for accompanying road improvements
- There is currently no bridge included to access the station directly
- We would like to understand how the development is planning to deal with the cutting into the green belt. With the withdrawal of the Local Plan this is not allocated for development now. Exceptional circumstances will have to be provided
- We must start a Section 106 considerations list in respect to this site
- The consultation timeframe is very small and we feel should be extended

Information to the Community

It was agreed that the draft minutes of this extraordinary council will be circulated as widely as possible (including through residents associations) with people encouraged to make their views known to councillors and through the Planning system at Bucks Council.

It was also agreed that the Planning Committee formal responses be shared in the same way

The meeting finished at 4.56pm

Signed ..... Chairman

Date .....